## EVALUATION DIFFERENT BRINJAL VARIETIES FOR GROWTH, YIELD AND ECONOMICS FOR NORTH EASTERN TRANSITION ZONE OF KARNATAKA

## HANCHINMANI, C. N, IMAMSAHEB, S. J

**Abstract:** The field experiment was conducted during the year 2014 – 2015 with six varieties of brinjal *viz.*,  $V_1$  – Arka Neelkanth,  $V_2$  – Arka Shirish,  $V_3$  – Arka Kusumkar,  $V_4$ - Arka Keshav,  $V_5$ - CVK,  $V_6$ - Brinjal Green Round and four replication, at College of Horticulture, Bidar which is situated in the North Eastern Transition Zone *i.e.*, zone-II of region-I in Karnataka state. The study revealed that among the different varieties, significantly higher plant height (61.83, and 94.13 cm at 60 and 90 DAT respectively) was observed in  $V_2$  (Arka Shirish), followed by  $V_3$  (Arka Kusmakar) (51.03 and 80.73 cm at 60 and 90 a DAT, respectively). Significantly higher fruit yield per hectare (13.01 t/ha) was recorded in  $V_5$  (CVK) compared to all the other genotypes, while the fruit yield per hectare was found to be the least (6.94 t/ha) in  $V_6$  (Brinjal Green Round ). Higher B: C ratio (2.80) was recorded in the variety, CVK. Whereas, lower B: C ratio (1.51) was recorded in Brinjal Green Round.

Keywords: Different Varieties, Growth, Yield and Economics.

Introduction: Brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) is one of the most important fruit vegetables belonging to the family Solanaceae, [10] mentioned that its centre of origin was the Indo-Burma region. It has been a stable vegetable in our diet since ancient times. Both poor and rich like it, contrary to the common belief, it is quite high in nutritive value and can well be compared with tomato [1]. The unripe brinjal fruit is primarily used as cooked vegetable for preparation of various dishes in different regions of the world. It has got much potential as raw material in pickle making and dehydration industries. Brinjal is also valued for medicinal properties and has its got de cholestrolizing property primarily due to presence of fatty acids (lenoleic and lenolenic) presence in flesh and seeds of fruits higher amount. Edible parts of brinjal are matured fruits and bitterness is due to glycoalkolides. Among the Solanaceous vegetables, brinjal is the most common, popular and principal vegetable crop grown in many geographical parts in India.

The area under brinjal cultivation is estimated at 680.00 ha with total production of 11896.00 mt and productivity of 17.5 mt/ha [4]. Since productivity level is very low in Bidar, hence an investigation is carried out to identify suitable cultivar with higher productivity in this region.

Material and method: Field experiment was conducted during 2014 to 2015 at College of Horticulture, Bidar, to evaluation different brinjal varieties for growth, yield and economics for North Eastern Transition zone of Karnataka. The experiment was laid out using RCBD design with total six varieties with four replications viz., V<sub>1</sub> - Arka Neelkanth, V<sub>2</sub> - Arka Shirish, V<sub>3</sub> - Arka Kusumkar, V<sub>4</sub>- Arka Keshav, V<sub>5</sub>- CVK, V<sub>6</sub>- Brinjal Green Round. The spacing adopted for planting was 60x 45 cm. The were irrigated immediately plots after the transplanting. Thinning of excess seedlings and gap

filling was undertaken. All cultural practices have followed as per package of practices of UHS, Bagalkot. The observations *viz.*, Plant height, Number of branches, Stem girth at 30, 60 and 90 days after transplanting, Days to 50% flowering, Yield per plot, Yield per ha and Economics were worked out. The collected data were subjected for statistical analysis.

**Results and Discussion:** The data on number of branches per plant at varying stages of plant growth as influenced by different genotypes is presented in Table 1 and depicted in Fig. 1.

Plant height of brinjal influenced significantly due to different genotypes at all the stages of growth (30 and 60 DAT) except 30DAT. The significantly higher plant height (61.83, and 94.13 cm at 60 and 90 DAT respectively) was observed in V<sub>2</sub> (Arka Shirish ), followed by V<sub>3</sub> (Arka Kusmakar ) (51.03 and 80.73 cm DAT, respectively). Whereas at 60 and 90 significantly lower plant height of 42.01 and 65.23 was recorded in  $V_1$  (Arka Neelkanth) and V6 (brinjal green round ) at 60 and 90 DAT respectively The results are in accordance with findings of [9] and [2]. The data on number of branches per plant at varying stages of plant growth as influenced by different genotypes is presented in Table 1 and depicted in Fig. 1.

Number of branches per plant recorded significantly higher in  $V_5$  (CVK) (6.40, 12.20 and 20.0 at 30, 60 and 90 DAT, respectively) over the rest of the genotypes. Whereas  $V_4$  (Arka Keshav) recorded significantly lower number of branches of 2.93, 7.53 and 14.27 at 30, 60 and 90 DAT, respectively. The girth of brinjal stem was influenced significantly due to different genotypes at all the stages of growth. Maximum girth of stem (4.21, 16.05, and 18.63 mm) were observed in  $V_6$  (Brinjal Green Round), at 30, 60 and 90 DAT. While significantly lower stem of girth was recorded in  $V_4$  (Arka Keshav) (3.54 and 10.92 mm at 30 and 60 DAT, respectively) this results is fall in the view of [8].

The data on days taken for 50 per cent flowering is presented in Table 2. Significantly higher number of days took for 50 per cent flowering (56.00 days) was recorded in genotype  $V_3$  (Arka Kusumakar ) compared to all other genotypes this result is accordance with [3]

The data on yield tons per hectare are presented in Table 2.

Significantly higher fruit yield per hectare (13.01 t /ha) was recorded in  $V_5$  (CVK ) compared to all the other genotypes, while the fruit yield per hectare was found to be the least (6.94 t/ha) in  $V_6$  (Brinjal Green Round ) The similar pattern of result was reported by [7], [6] and [5]

**Economics:** The data on economics of different brinjal varieties are presented in Table 3. Among the different varieties, the variety, CVK obtained highest yield (13.01 t/ha) and net income (Rs. 66917.2/ha), gross income (Rs. 104080/ha). The lowest yield (6.94

## **References:**

- 1. Chaudhary, B. (1976). *Vegetable*. National Book Trust, New Delhi.
- 2. Chaurasia, S.N.S. Major Singh and Mathura Rai (2005). Stability analysis for growth and yield attributes in brinjal. *Veg. Sci.* **32**(2) : 120-122.
- 3. Narendra S. Panwar, A.C. Mishra, V. Pandey, Mayank Nautiyal and Abhishek Bahuguna (2013). Evaluation of brinjal (*Solanum melongena* L.) hybrids for growth and yield characters under rainfed mid hill condition of Uttarakhand. *International Journal of Forestry and Crop Improvement* 4(1):32-35.
- 4. NHB data (2011). http://nhb.org.in/database 2011
- 5. Pandit, M. K. Thapa, H. Akhtar S. and Hazra P.( 2010). Evaluation of brinjal genotypes for growth and reproductive characters with seasonal variation. *Journal of Crop and Weed* 6(2):31-34.

t/ha) was recorded in Brinjal Green Round with net income of Rs. 18657.2 per hectare, gross income of Rs. 55520 per hectare.

Higher B: C ratio (2.80) was recorded in the variety, CVK. Whereas, lower B:C ratio (1.51) was recorded in Brinjal Green Round.

 $T_3(GA_350ppm+1$  January 2015) Lower yield was recorded in  $T_1(GA_320 ppm+1$  January 2015) of 31.08 mm. this result fall in view of [5].

Among the treatments imposed, the treatment  $T_3$  obtained highest yield (71.3 t/ha) and net income (Rs.83,416/ha), gross income (Rs.142600/ha) This was followed by  $T_2$  which produced 62.25 tons per hectare with Rs.66216 per hectare, Rs. 124500 per hectare per hectare of net income, gross income, respectively. The lowest yield (41.53 t/ha) of radish was recorded in  $T_{11}$  with net income of Rs.26125.6 per hectare, gross income of Rs. 83,060 per hectare.

Higher B: C ratio (2.41) was recorded in the treatment  $T_3$ . Whereas, lower B: C ratio (1.46) was recorded in the treatment  $T_{11}$ .

- Praneetha, S (2002). Correlation studies in brinjal (Solanum melongena L.). South Indian Hort. 53:286-290.
- 7. Rai, N., Singh, A. K. and Sarnaik, D. A. (1998). Evaluation of round shaped brinjal varieties for stability of their yield contributing attributes. *Veg. Sci.* **25** : 136-140.
- 8. Ramesh Kumar S. and Arumugam T. (2013). Phenotypic evaluation of indigenous Brinjal types suitable for rainfed conditions of South India. *African Journal of Biotechnology*:12(27):4338-4342.
- Thangamani C (2003). Evaluation of F1 brinjal (Solanum melongena L.) for yield and quality. M.Sc., (Hort.) Thesis, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore.
- 10. Vavilov, N. I. (1928). Proc. 5th International Congress of Genetics, New York. pp. 342-369.

| Table.1 Performance of Different Brinjal Varieties for plant height and number of branches. |                   |        |        |                    |        |        |
|---|-------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--------|--------|
| Genotypes   | Plant height (cm) |        |        | Number of branches |        |        |
|   | 30 DAT            | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | 30 DAT             | 60 DAT | 90 DAT |
| V1 Arka Neelakanth  | 9.67              | 42.01  | 68.13  | 3.20               | 8.13   | 15.20  |
| V2 Arka Shirish   | 11.95             | 61.83  | 94.13  | 4.33               | 9.93   | 17.07  |
| V3 Arka Kusumakar   | 10.56             | 51.03  | 80.73  | 3.47               | 9.07   | 17.33  |
| V4 Arka Keshav  | 8.99              | 42.79  | 68.95  | 2.93               | 7.53   | 14.27  |
| V5 CVK  | 10.44             | 49.34  | 65.80  | 6.40               | 12.20  | 20.00  |
| V6 Brinjal Green Round  | 12.03             | 46.94  | 65.23  | 4.87               | 8.80   | 16.73  |
| Mean  | 10.60             | 48.99  | 73.83  | 4.20               | 9.27   | 16.76  |
| S.Em±   | 2.01              | 2.86   | 3.76   | 0.60               | 0.68   | 1.05   |
| C. D. at (5%)   | 6.36              | 9.01   | 11.87  | 1.91               | 2.15   | 3.31   |

**NS** - Non significant

| Table.2 Performance of Different Brinjal Varieties for Stem girth (mm) and Yield parameters |                 |        |        |            |           |           |
|---|-----------------|--------|--------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| Genotypes   | Stem girth (mm) |        |        | Days to 50 | Yield per | Yield per |
|   | 30 DAT          | 60 DAT | 90 DAT | per cent   | plot (kg) | hectare   |
|   |                 |        |        | flowering  |           | (tons)    |
| V1 Arka<br>Neelakanth   | 3.78            | 11.20  | 16.62  | 52.67      | 7.99      | 8.88      |
| V2 Arka Shirish   | 4.05            | 12.38  | 17.96  | 55.67      | 6.26      | 6.96      |
| V3 Arka<br>Kusumakar  | 3.64            | 11.49  | 15.07  | 56.00      | 11.24     | 12.49     |
| V4 Arka Keshav  | 3.54            | 10.92  | 16.49  | 55.00      | 8.63      | 9.59      |
| V5 CVK  | 3.92            | 14.31  | 19.23  | 51.00      | 11.71     | 13.01     |
| V6 Brinjal Green<br>Round   | 4.21            | 16.05  | 18.63  | 48.00      | 6.24      | 6.94      |
| Mean  | 3.85            | 12.72  | 17.33  | 53.05      | 8.68      | 9.64      |
| S.Em±   | 0.25            | 0.65   | 0.977  | 1.13       | 1.23      | 1.37      |
| C. D. at (5%)   | 0.79            | 2.07   | 3.08   | 3.58       | 3.90      | 4.33      |





Fig.1 Performance of different brinjal Varieties for plant height at different growth stages



Fig.2 Performance of different brinjal Varieties for number of branches at different growth stages

| Tables 3. Economics of Different Brinjal Varieties |                   |             |         |         |      |  |
|--|-------------------|-------------|---------|---------|------|--|
|  | Yield Per hectare | Cost of     | Gross   | Net     |      |  |
| Genotypes  | (kg)              | cultivation | returns | returns | B:C  |  |
| V1   | 8880              | 37212.8     | 71040   | 33827.2 | 1.91 |  |
| V2   | 6960              | 37212.8     | 55680   | 18467.2 | 1.51 |  |
| V3   | 12490             | 37212.8     | 99920   | 62707.2 | 2.69 |  |
| V4   | 9590              | 37212.8     | 76720   | 39507.2 | 2.06 |  |
| V5   | 13010             | 37162.8     | 104080  | 66917.2 | 2.80 |  |
| V6   | 6940              | 36862.8     | 55520   | 18657.2 | 1.51 |  |

\*price of fruit Rs. 8 kg

\*\*\*

Dr. C. N. Hanchinamani/ Professor of Vegetable Science/ College of Horticulture/ Bidar Imamsaheb Jatth/ Assistant Professor of Vegetable Science/ College of Horticulture/imamjath@gmail.com