

---

## BIOFLOC TECHNOLOGY –THE FUTURISTIC TECHNOLOGY FOR IMPROVING THE ECOLOGICAL & ECONOMIC SUSTAINABILITY OF AQUACULTURE

S. S. RATHORE, S. I. YUSUFZAI, N. N. KATIRA

---

**Abstract:** As the human population continues to grow, food production industries such as aquaculture will need to expand as well. In order to preserve the environment and the natural resources, this expansion will need to take place in a sustainable way. The expansion of the aquaculture production is restricted due to the pressure it causes on the environment by the discharge of waste products in the water bodies and by its dependence on fish oil and fishmeal. Aquaculture using bio-flocs technology (BFT) offers a solution to both problems. It combines the removal of nutrients from the water with the production of microbial biomass, which can in situ be used by the culture species as additional food source. Understanding the basics of bio-flocculation is essential for optimal practice. Cells in the flocs can profit from advective flow and as a result, exhibit faster substrate uptake than the planktonic cells. The latter mechanisms appear to be valid for low to moderate mixing intensities as those occurring in most aquaculture systems. Biofloc technology is a technique of enhancing water quality in aquaculture through balancing carbon and nitrogen in the system. The technology has recently gained attention as a sustainable method to control water quality, with the added value of producing proteinaceous feed in situ. In this review, we will discuss an ecological effects of the bio-floc technology, evaluate economic sustainability and identify some challenges for future research.

**Keywords:** Aquaculture, Biofloc, Ecological, Economic.

---

**Introduction:** Aquaculture is currently the fastest growing food producing sector in the world. The contribution of aquaculture to the world total fish production reached 44.1 percent in 2014 [1]. Through the use of intensive aquaculture, production of both freshwater and marine food fish has been increased significantly. As a result, the requirement for more sustainable and environmentally friendly management and culture practices remains fully necessary. Moreover, the expansion of aquaculture is also restricted due to land costs and by its strong dependence on fishmeal and fish oil [2]. Such ingredients are one of the prime constituents of feed for commercial aquaculture. Feed costs represent at least 50% of the total aquaculture production costs, which is predominantly due to the cost of protein component in commercial diets [3]. One such environmentally friendly aquaculture system is called Biofloc Technology (BFT) [4]. In these systems, a co-culture of heterotrophic bacteria and algae is grown in flocs under controlled conditions within the culture pond [5]. In BFT, minimum water discharge and reuse of water prevent environment degradation and convert such system in a real “environmentally friendly system” with a “green” approach. Compared to conventional water treatment technologies used in aquaculture, biofloc technology provides a more economical alternative (decrease of water treatment expenses in the order of 30%), and additionally, a potential gain on feed expenses (the efficiency of protein utilization is twice as high in biofloc technology systems when compared to conventional

ponds), making it a low-cost sustainable constituent to future aquaculture development [6].

**Types of biofloc system:** There are few types of biofloc systems used in both commercial aquaculture or evaluated in research. Biofloc systems that are exposed to natural light include outdoor, lined ponds or tanks that are used for the culture of shrimp or tilapia hence these systems are also known as the “green-water” biofloc systems due to the green discoloration of the water by the algae community. However, some biofloc systems are not exposed to natural light but instead are installed indoor with no exposure to natural light. This system operate as “brown-water” biofloc system where only bacterial processes control the water quality in the system [7].

**How biofloc technology works:** Bioflocs are macroaggregates (flocs) of bacteria, algae, protozoa (also known as zooplankton) and particulate organic matter such as uneaten food and feces. The flocs are held together by a loose matrix of mucus secreted by the bacteria, bound by filamentous microorganisms or held together by electrostatic attraction (Hargreaves, 2013). Phytoplankton in the biofloc system could either be introduced into the system through the water that is used during the system start-up or inoculated into the system from a phytoplankton stock. In a green-water biofloc system, phytoplankton can help to control the water quality by uptake of toxic substances like ammonia-nitrogen. Being autotrophic, phytoplankton can also perform photosynthesis in the presence of sunlight, thereby enriching the system with oxygen produced [7].

**Factors influencing floc formation and floc structure in bio-flocs technology:** The knowledge on how to promote floc formation in activated sludge systems can be used for application in BFT. Yet, the

parameters listed in Table 1 may need adjustment to obtain good aggregation and high quality of the bio-flocs together with optimal growth conditions for the aquaculture organisms.

Table I. Main operational parameters for bio-flocs technology based aquaculture and their manipulation.

| Parameter   | Floc parameters influenced  | Manipulation possibilities   | Related to                                |
|---|---|--|---|
| Mixing intensity/shear rate                           | Floc structure and final floc size  | Choice of power input ( $W m^{-3}$ )<br>Aeration device                                | Dissolved oxygen                          |
| Organic carbon source (e.g. glucose, acetate, starch) | Chemical floc composition (fatty acids, lipids, protein, polyhydroxyalkanoates)   | Type of organic carbon source  | Organic loading rate<br>Dissolved oxygen  |
| Organic loading rate                                  | Microbial floc composition (filamentous vs. floc forming bacteria)<br>Chemical floc composition (polyhydroxyalkanoates) | Feeding strategy (continuous feeding or regular interval feeding)                      | Dissolved oxygen                          |
| Dissolved oxygen (DO)                                 | Microbial floc composition (filamentous vs. floc forming bacteria)<br>Floc structure and floc volume index              | Choice of power input ( $W m^{-3}$ )<br>Aeration device<br>Floc production in the pond | Mixing intensity<br>Organic carbon source |
| Temperature   | Floc structure and activity   | Addition of heat   | Dissolved oxygen                          |
| pH/ionics   | Stability of the flocs  | Addition of acid/base  | Alkalinity<br>Conductivity                |

Table II. Different carbon sources applied on BFT system (Source: [8])

| Carbon source                  | Culture specie   |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Acetate                        | <i>Macrobrachium rosenbergii</i>   |
| Cassava meal                   | <i>Penaeus monodon</i>   |
| Cellulose                      | Tilapia  |
| Corn flour                     | Hybrid bass and hybrid tilapia   |
| Dextrose                       | <i>Litopenaeus vannamei</i>  |
| Glycerol and Glycerol+Bacillus | <i>M. rosenbergii</i>  |
| Glucose                        | <i>M. rosenbergii</i>  |
| Molasses                       | <i>L. vannamei</i> and <i>P. monodon</i>   |
| Sorghum meal                   | Tilapia  |
| Tapioca                        | <i>L. vannamei</i> and <i>M. rosenbergii</i>                                     |
| Wheat flour                    | Tilapia ( <i>O. niloticus</i> )  |
| Wheat bran + molasses          | <i>Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis</i> , <i>F. paulensis</i> and <i>F. Duorarum</i> |
| Starch                         | Tilapia <i>O. niloticus</i> x <i>O. aureus</i> and tilapia (Mozambique)          |

Table III. Proximate analysis of biofloc particles in different studies (Source: [9])

| Crude protein (%) | Carbohydrates (%) | Lipids (%) | Crude fiber (%) | Ash (%)    |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------|-----------------|------------|
| 43.0              | -                 | 12.5       | -               | 26.5       |
| 31.2              | -                 | 2.6        | -               | 28.2       |
| 12.0-42.0         | -                 | 2.0 - 8.0  | -               | 22.0 -46.0 |

|             |           |           |            |             |
|-------------|-----------|-----------|------------|-------------|
| 31.1        | 23.6      | 0.5       | -          | 44.8        |
| 26.0-41.9   | -         | 1.2-2.3   | -          | 18.3- 40.7  |
| 30.4        | -         | 1.9       | 12.4       | 38.9        |
| 49.0        | 36.4      | 1.13      | 12.6       | 13.4        |
| 38.8        | 25.3      | <0.1      | 16.2       | 24.7        |
| 28.8 - 43.1 | -         | 2.1 - 3.6 | 8.7 - 10.4 | 22.1 - 42.9 |
| 30.4        | 29.1      | 0.5       | 0.8        | 39.2        |
| 18.2-29.3   | 22.8-29.9 | 0.4-0.7   | 1.5-3.5    | 43.7-51.8   |
| 18.4-26.3   | 20.2-35.7 | 0.3-0.7   | 2.1-3.4    | 34.5-41.5   |
| 28.0-30.4   | 18.1-22.7 | 0.5-0.6   | 3.1-3.2    | 35.8-39.6   |

### Applications in aquaculture:

**5.1 Nursery and grow-out:** BFT has been applied successfully in nursery phase in different shrimp species such as *L. vannamei*, *P. monodon*, *F. paulensis*, *F. brasiliensis* and *F. setiferus*. The primary advantage observed is related to a better nutrition by continuous consumption of biofloc, which might positively influence grow-out performance *a posteriori*, but was not always the case. In grow-out, BFT has been also shown nutritional and zootechnical benefits. It was estimated that more than 29% of the daily food intake of *L. vannamei* consisted of microbial flocs, decreasing FCR and reducing costs in feed [10].

**5.2 Breeding:** The BFT has been successfully applied for grow-out, but little is known about biofloc benefits on breeding. For example, in the shrimp industry with the global spread of viruses, the use of closed-life cycle broodstock appeared as a priority to guarantee biosecurity, avoiding vertical transmissions. [11].

**5.3 The “natural probiotic” effect of biofloc:** Biofloc can be a novel strategy for disease management in contrast to conventional approaches such as antibiotic, antifungal, probiotic and prebiotic application. The “natural probiotic” effect in BFT could act internally and/or

externally against, i.e., to *Vibrio sp.* And ectoparasites, respectively. [12].

**5.4 Aquaponics:** Aquaponics is a sustainable food production system that combines a traditional aquaculture with hydroponics in a symbiotic environment. The water is efficiently recirculated and reused for maximum benefits through natural biological filtration and recirculation. The waste that is excreted by aquatic species or uneaten feed is naturally converted into nitrate and other beneficial nutrients in the water. [13].

**Conclusion:** A variety of beneficial features can be ascribed to biofloc technology, from water quality control to in situ feed production and some possible extra features. Biofloc technology offers aquaculture a sustainable tool to simultaneously address its environmental, social and economical issues concurrent with its growth. Researchers are challenged to further develop this technique and farmers to implement it in their future aquaculture systems. The basics of the technology is there, but its further development, fine-tuning and implementation will need further research and development from the present and future generation of researchers, farmers and consumers to make this technique a keystone of future sustainable aquaculture.

### References:

1. P.B.Reddy,,Sangita Pal, Endocrine Disruptors: A Global Threat for the; Life Sciences International Research Journal , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 1 Issue 1 (2014): Pg 325-327
2. FAO, Fisheries and Aquaculture Information and Statistical Branch. Food and Agricultural Organization, United Nations, 2016.
3. C. L. Browdy, D. Bratvold, A. D. Stokes and R. P. McIntosh, “Perspectives on the application of closed shrimp culture systems. In: E. D. Jory, C. L. Browdy, editors”. The new wave, proceedings of the special session sustainable shrimp culture, The World Aquaculture Society, Baton Rouge, LA, USA., 2001, pp. 20–34.
4. J. Bender, R. Lee, M. Sheppard, K. Brinkley, P. Philips, Y. Yeboah and R. C. Wah. “A waste effluent treatment system based on microbial mats for black sea bass *Centropomus striata* recycled water mariculture”. Aquac. Eng. 31 (2004): 73-82.
5. Devina Seram, Kanchan Saikia, Weather Correlation of White Grub, *Leucopholis Coneophora* (Burmeister) incidence in Mid-Hills of Meghalaya; Life Sciences International Research

- Journal , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 2 Issue 2 (2015): Pg 286-288
6. H. X. Choo and C. M. A. Caipang. "Biofloc technology (BFT) and its application towards improved production in freshwater tilapia culture". *AAFL Bioflux*. 3 (2015): 362-366.
  7. R. Crab, T. Defoirdt, P. Bossier and W. Verstraete. "Biofloc technology in aquaculture: beneficial effects and future challenges". *Aquaculture*. 356-357 (2012): 351-356.
  8. Mishra Ashish<sup>1</sup>, Shukla Saurabh Kumar, Singh Mahendra, Development and Quality Analysis of Banana and; *Life Sciences International Research Journal* , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 1 Issue 1 (2014): Pg 434-437
  9. P. De Schryver, R. Crab, T. Defoirdt, N. Boon and W. Verstraete. "The basics of bio-flocs technology: The added value for aquaculture". *Aquaculture*. 277 (2008):125-137.
  10. J. A. Hargreaves, "Biofloc production systems for aquaculture". SRAC Publication number 4503 (2013), pp 12.
  11. N.Chamundeswari, K.Siva Reddy, J.Sateesh Babu, S.Ratna Kumari , J.S.V.Samba Murthy, Stability of Yield and Other Quantitative Traits in; *Life Sciences International Research Journal* , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 1 Issue 1 (2014): Pg 384-388
  12. Y. Avnimelech. "Carbon and nitrogen ratio as a control element in aquaculture systems". *Aquaculture*. 176 (1999): 227-235.
  13. Bhagat V.V. Pathan S.C., Kalalbandi B.M., Effect of Organic & inorganic Fertilizers on Growth and Yield of Gladiolus; *Life Sciences international Research Journal* , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 2 Issue 1 (2015), Pg 287-289
  14. M. Emerenciano, G. Cuzon, M. Arevalo, M. M. Miquelajauregui and G. Gaxiola. "Effect of short-term fresh food supplementation on reproductive performance, biochemical composition and fatty acid profile of *Litopenaeus vannamei* (Boone) reared under biofloc conditions". *Aquac Int*. 53 (2012): 220-230.
  15. E.A. onwubuya, Amu, C. J, Factors Affecting Extension Professionals in the ; *Life Sciences international Research Journal* , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 2 Issue 1 (2015), Pg 293-298
  16. M. Emerenciano, E. L. C. Ballester, R. O. Cavalli and W. Wasielesky. "Biofloc technology application as a food source in a limited water exchange nursery system for pink shrimp *Farfantepenaeus brasiliensis* (Latreille, 1817)". *Aquac Res*. 43 (2012): 447-457.
  17. Chamundeswari N, Satyanarayana Pv, Uma Sundari P, Manasa Y, Ravi Kumar B, Girija Rani M, Ramana Rao Pv and Vishnuvardhan K, Assessment of Genetic Differences Among Newly; *Life Sciences International Research Journal* , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 1 Issue 1 (2014): Pg 338-341
  18. R. Wouters, P. Lavens, J. Nieto and P. Sorgeloos. "Penaeid shrimp broodstock nutrition: an updated review on research and development". *Aquaculture*. 202 (2001): 1-21.
  19. K. Sinha, K. Baruah and P. Bossier. "Horizon Scanning: the potential use of biofloc as an anti-infective strategy in aquaculture – an overview". *Aquac Health Int*. 13 (2008): 8-10.
  20. Dr. Archana Kushwaha, Physico-Chemical and Biological Assessment ; *Life Sciences international Research Journal* , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 2 Issue 1 (2015), Pg 290-292
  21. J. Ray, B. L. Lewis, C. L. Browdy and J. W. Leffler. "Suspended solids removal to improve shrimp (*Litopenaeus vannamei*) production and an evaluation of a plant-based feed in minimal-exchange, superintensive culture systems". *Aquaculture*. 299 (2010): 89-98.
  22. Goudappa S. Satalagaon, Netravati, Nethravathi B, Chandranath H. T, Nagaveni, H. C, Shabhi Uz Zahara, Response of Drill Sown onion to Nutrient Management Through Soil Test Crop Response (STCR) and Soil Test Laboratory (Stl) Approach; *Life Sciences International Research Journal* , ISSN 2347-8691, Volume 2 Issue 2 (2015): Pg 280-285

S. S. Rathore, S. I. Yusufzai

Department of Aquaculture, College of Fisheries Science  
Junagadh Agricultural University, Veraval, Gujarat, India

Katira N. N., Department of Fisheries Resource Management  
College of Fisheries Science, Junagadh Agricultural University, Veraval, Gujarat, India